#### RISK REPORT INCORPORATING THE BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK (BAF)

Author: Risk and Assurance Manager Sponsor: Medical Director Date: Thursday 4 June 2015

# Executive Summary

## Paper U

#### Context

The Board Assurance Framework (BAF) is the key source of evidence that links strategic objectives to risks, controls and assurances, and the main tool that the Trust Board should use in discharging its overall responsibility for internal control. Principal risks, controls and assurances have been previously identified by the UHL Executive team and a draft BAF presented to the Board in April 2015. Further work has now been completed to provide a final 2015/16 BAF for approval by the Board.

## Questions

- 1. Does the BAF provide an accurate reflection of the principal risks to our strategic objectives?
- 2. Is sufficient assurance provided that the principal risks are being effectively controlled?
- 3. What further actions are required to reduce these risks to an acceptable residual (target) level?

### Conclusion

- 1. Input from Executive owners of each strategic objective will have provided an accurate picture of our principal risks.
- 2. Many of our assurance sources are based on internal monitoring and some may benefit from external scrutiny (e.g. via internal audit) to provide additional assurance that controls are effective
- 3. Currently, only one risk has been treated to its target level and all other risks have actions to reduce the risks during the year. These actions are monitored via an 'action tracker' and will be added to if necessary to ensure effective control.

## Input Sought

We would welcome the board's input to consider the content of the BAF and approve this iteration of the UHL 2015/16 BAF as a 'final' document to be used as a basis for internal assurance

## For Reference

Edit as appropriate:

1. The following objectives were considered when preparing this report:

Safe, high quality, patient centred healthcare	[Yes]
Effective, integrated emergency care	[Yes]
Consistently meeting national access standards	[Yes]
Integrated care in partnership with others	[Yes]
Enhanced delivery in research, innovation & ed'	[Yes]
A caring, professional, engaged workforce	[Yes]
Clinically sustainable services with excellent facilities	[Yes]
Financially sustainable NHS organisation	[Yes]
Enabled by excellent IM&T	[Yes]

2. This matter relates to the following governance initiatives:

Organisational Risk Register	[Yes]
Board Assurance Framework	[Yes]

- 3. Related Patient and Public Involvement actions taken, or to be taken: [None]
- 4. Results of any Equality Impact Assessment, relating to this matter: [None]
- 5. Scheduled date for the next paper on this topic: [02/07/15]
- 6. Executive Summaries should not exceed 1 page. [My paper does comply]
- 7. Papers should not exceed 7 pages. [My paper does not comply]

#### **UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF LEICESTER NHS TRUST**

REPORT TO: TRUST BOARD

DATE: 4<sup>TH</sup> JUNE 2015

REPORT BY: ANDREW FURLONG – MEDICAL DIRECTOR

SUBJECT: RISK REPORT INCORPORATING THE BOARD

ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK (BAF)

.....

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 This report provides the Trust Board (TB) with:-

a) The UHL 2015/16 BAF and action tracker as of 30<sup>th</sup> April 2015.

b) Notification of new extreme or high risks opened during April 2015.

#### 2. 2015/16 BAF POSITION AS OF 30<sup>TH</sup> APRIL 2015

- 2.1 Following the submission of a draft version to the TB in May 2015 a final draft BAF is now submitted for consideration and endorsement. This document is attached at appendix one with changes since the previous version highlighted in red text. A copy of the 2015/16 BAF action tracker is attached at appendix two with changes also highlighted in red for ease of reference. The TB is asked to note the following:
  - a. In relation to risk five, the TB suggested that there could be more consistency with the Better Care Together (BCT) programme BAF. An initial meeting has been held between the UHL Risk and Assurance Manager and Louise Perry (BCT Head of Finance) to discuss this. It was noted that the BCT programme BAF when fully developed will incorporate all significant risks to the achievement of the programme and the UHL BAF will include only those elements that may jeopardise the achievement of our objective as a partner organisation, and therefore will not be a simple duplication of entries. The current BCT BAF is still in draft form and does not yet contain fields to show controls and gaps in controls/actions and the work to achieve greater consistency will be completed once a final BCT version has been endorsed by the BCT programme board. Going forward, it is proposed to engage with the Director of Strategy, as the objective owner and the link with the BCT programme, to progress this work.
  - b. At the request of the Acting Director of HR, information in relation to *'Freedom to Speak'* (whistleblowing) and *'medical workforce strategy'* has been added to risk ten.
  - c. Many of the assurance sources are internal in nature and consideration should be given to where further external scrutiny would be beneficial to provide additional assurance that controls are effective.
  - d. The BAF is a dynamic document and as such there is never a 'final' BAF instead there will be a series of iterative changes throughout the year to reflect changes in controls, assurances, gaps and actions.

- e. This iteration of the BAF was approved for final submission to the TB by the UHL Audit Committee on 27<sup>th</sup> May 2015.
- 2.2 The role of the TB is to provide scrutiny and challenge in relation to the BAF to ensure that executive owners of each strategic objective have provided sufficient assurance that risks to the achievement of these are being effectively controlled. The strategic objective below is therefore submitted for scrutiny: 'Safe, high quality, patient centred healthcare' (incorporating principal risk number 1).

#### 3. EXTREME AND HIGH RISK REPORT.

3.1 Four new high risks have opened during April 2015 as described below. The details of these risks are included at appendix three for information. Please note that risk number 2534 was in relation to the recent shutdown of the IT data centre to enable re-routing of power supplies to enable demolition works to progress and is now closed.

Risk ID	Risk Title	Risk Score	CMG/ Directorate
2529	Risk of vacancies on junior doctor on-call rota resulting in greater use of agency staff	20	ITAPS
2530	Vacant Consultant post in pain management resulting in backlog of new and follow up patients	20	ITAPS
2535	Essential work to the IT data centre might have a significant impact on IT services pre & post the planned shutdown	20	Ops
2541	There is a risk of reduced theatre & bed capacity at LRI due to increased spinal activity	16	MSS

#### 4. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 4.1 The TB is invited to:
  - (a) Receive and note this report;
  - (b) review and comment upon the final draft version of the 2015/16 BAF, as it deems appropriate;
  - (c) note the actions identified to address any gaps in either controls or assurances (or both);
  - (d) identify any areas which it feels that the Trust's controls are inadequate and do not effectively manage the principal risks to our objectives;
  - (e) identify any gaps in assurances about the effectiveness of the controls to manage the principal risks and consider the nature of, and timescale for, any further assurances to be obtained;
  - identify any other actions necessary to address any 'significant control issues' in order to provide assurance on the Trust meeting its principal objectives;
  - (g) Consider and endorse the final version of the 2015/16 BAF.

Peter Cleaver, Risk and Assurance Manager, 27<sup>th</sup> May 2015.

# **UHL BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK 2015/16**

#### **STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES**

Objective	Description	Objective Owner(s)
а	Safe, high quality, patient centred healthcare	<u>Chief Nurse</u> /Medical Director
b	An effective and integrated emergency care system	Chief Operating Officer/ Medical Director/ Chief Nurse
С	Services which consistently meet national access standards	Chief Operating Officer
d	Integrated care in partnership with others	<u>Director of Strategy</u>
е	Enhanced delivery in research, innovation and clinical education	Medical Director
f	A caring, professional and engaged workforce	<u>Director of Human Resources</u>
g	A clinically sustainable configuration of services, operating from excellent facilities	<u>Director of Strategy</u> / Director of Estates and Facilities
h	A financially sustainable NHS Foundation Trust	Director of Finance
i	Enabled by excellent IM&T	Chief Information Officer

PERIOD: APRIL 2015

Risk No.	Link to objective	Risk Description	Risk owner	Current Score	Target Score
1.	Safe, high quality, patient centred healthcare	Lack of progress in implementing UHL Quality Commitment (QC).	CN	9	6
2.	An effective and integrated emergency care system	Demographic growth plus ineffective admission avoidance schemes may counteract any internal improvements in emergency pathway	coo	20	6
3.	Services which consistently meet national access standards	tional access standards providers in the local health economy may adversely affect our ability to consistently meet national access standards		9	6
4.	Integrated care in partnership with	Existing and new tertiary flows of patients not secured compromising UHL's future more specialised status.	DS	15	10
5.	others	Failure to deliver integrated care in partnership with others including failure to:  Deliver the Better Care Together year 2 programme of work  Participate in BCT formal public consultation with risk of challenge and judicial review  Develop and formalise partnerships with a range of providers (tertiary and local services)  Explore and pioneer new models of care. Failure to deliver integrated care.	DS	15	10
6.	Enhanced delivery in research,	Failure to retain BRU status.	MD	9	6
7.	innovation and clinical education	Clinical service pressures and too few trainers meeting GMC criteria may mean we fail to provide consistently high standards of medical education.	MD	9	4
8.		Insufficient engagement of clinical services, investment and governance may cause failure to deliver the Genomic Medicine Centre project at UHL	MD	9	6
9.		Changes in senior management/ leaders in partner organisations may adversely affect relationships / partnerships with universities.	MD	6	6
10	A caring, professional and engaged workforce	Gaps in inclusive and effective leadership capacity and capability, lack of support for workforce well-being, and lack of effective team working across local teams may lead to deteriorating staff engagement and difficulties in recruiting and retaining medical and non-medical staff	DHR	12	8
11.	A clinically sustainable configuration of services, operating	Insufficient estates infrastructure capacity and the lack of capacity of the Estates team may adversely affect major estate transformation programme	DS	20	10
12.	from excellent facilities	Limited capital envelope to deliver the reconfigured estate which is required to meet the Trust's revenue obligations	DS	12	8
13.		Lack of robust assurance in relation to statutory compliance of the estate	DS	12	8
14.		Failure to deliver clinically sustainable configuration of services	DS	12	8
15.	A financially sustainable NHS	Failure to deliver the 2015/16 programme of services reviews, a key component of service-line management (SLM)	DS	9	6
16	Organisation	Failure to deliver UHL's deficit control total in 2015/16	DF	15	10
17		Failure to achieve a revised and approved 5 year financial strategy	DF	15	10
18	Enabled by excellent IM&T	Delay to the approvals for the EPR programme	CIO	16	6
19		Perception of IM&T delivery by IBM leads to a lack of confidence in the service	CIO	16	6

### **BAF Consequence and Likelihood Descriptors:**

Impa	ct/Consequence		Likelih	nood
5	Extreme	Catastrophic effect upon the objective, making it unachievable	5	Almost Certain (81%+)
4	Major	Significant effect upon the objective, thus making it extremely difficult/ costly to achieve	4	Likely (61% - 80%)
3	Moderate	Evident and material effect upon the objective, thus making it achievable only with some moderate difficulty/cost.	3	Possible (41% - 60%)
2	Minor	Small, but noticeable effect upon the objective, thus making it achievable with some minor difficulty/ cost.	2	Unlikely (20% - 40%)
1	Insignificant	Negligible effect upon the achievement of the objective.	1	Rare (Less than 20%)

Principal risk 1	Lack of progress in implementing UHL Quality	lity Commitment (QC). Overall level of risk to the achiev objective		evement of the	Current score Ta		et score 6
Executive Risk Lead(s)	Chief Nurse						
Link to strategic objectives	Provide safe, high quality, patient centred hea	lthcare					
Key Controls (What control measures or systems are in place to assist secure delivery of the objective)		reports considered by Board or committee where delivery of the objectives is discussed and where the board can gain evidence that controls are effective).		Gaps in Assurance ( Control (c) (i.e. What are we not doing - What gaps in systems, controls an assurance have been identified)	Gaps ot od		
	eed for each goal and identified leads for each Quality Commitment (QC).	3 monthly and / or 6 EQB and QAC.	monthly progress reports to	Vacancies within clir staff will affect implementation of C	workforce	nt	Milestone review Jul 2015 MD&CN
KPIs agreed and monitored for all parts of the Quality Commitment.		Monthly Q&P Report to TB.  3 monthly and / or 6 monthly progress reports to EQB and QAC.  Exception reporting where KPIs/ outcomes not achieved External validation and benchmarking data including: Dr Foster Intelligence Copeland Risk adjusted barometer (CRAB) Hospital Evaluation data		Currently only 30% of deaths are screened and there is a requirement to move 100%.  Vacancies within clir staff grades may adversely affect our ability to implement this.	Audit supp provided (:  Monitor up (1.4)  Mortality of to be deve (1.5)  As action 1	ort to be (1.2) ort to be (1.3) otake database	Sep 2015 MD  July 2015 MD  Milestone review Jul 2015 MD&CN  As action 1.1
Clear work plans agre Commitment.	eed and monitored for all parts of the Quality	minimum annually re Annual reports prod	•	(a) Internal audit review awaited	Implement from revie required		June 2015 CN

	QC CQC inspection during 2015/16 Commissioner review of work plans/ progress via CQUIN.
Robust governance and committee structures in place to ensure delivery of the quality agenda	Regular committee reports.  Annual reports.
	Achievement of KPIs. Senior accountable individuals with appropriate support

Principal risk 2	Demographic growth plus ineffective admissio schemes may counteract any internal improve pathway		Overall level of risk to the achi objective	ievement of the	Current score 4x5=20	Target score 3x2=6
Executive Risk Lead(s)	Chief Operating Officer					
Link to strategic objectives	An effective and integrated emergency care sy	vstem				
Key Controls(What of secure delivery of the	ontrol measures or systems are in place to assist e objective)	reports considered delivery of the object	Provide examples of recent by Board or committee where ctives is discussed and where evidence that controls are	Gaps in Assurance Control (c) (i.e. What are we note that gaps is systems, controls a assurance have been identified)	Gaps ot n nd	dress Timescale/ Action Owner
Agreed set of metric care performance	s that measure internal and external emergency	monthly Performance reporte meeting daily Reported to UCB and	nthly ergency Quality Steering Group dat UHL Gold Command			
	nprove patient flow (i.e. admissions, reduction in aking best use of existing ED capacity			(c) LLR action plan no fully implemented	Continue to implement an monitor progr LLR action pla	ess of

referral pathways, and key changes to the cancer local health economy may adversely affect our absolute consistently meet national access standards  Executive Risk Lead(s)  Chief Operating Officer		referral pathways, and key changes to the cancer providers in the local health economy may adversely affect our ability to consistently meet national access standards  Risk Chief Operating Officer		evement of the	Current score 3x3=9	Targe 3x2=6	t score
Link to strategic objectives	Services which consistently meet national acce	ess standards					
Key Controls (What control measures or systems are in place to assist secure delivery of the objective)		Assurance Source (Provide examples of recent reports considered by Board or committee where delivery of the objectives is discussed and where the board can gain evidence that controls are effective).		Gaps in Assurance ( Control (c) (i.e. What are we not doing - What gaps in systems, controls are assurance have been identified)	Gaps of and	ddress	Timescale/ Action Owner
Agreed set of metrics that measure referrals activity and waiting times				(c) Non-delivery of t admitted standard.	he Develop performance improvemer framework f failing specia driven by the (3.1)	or alties	May 2015 COO
			admitted, incomplete 18 week	(c) Currently not delivering the 62 da and 31 day cancer access standard	y Developmer implementa intelligence recovery pla trajectories.	tion of led n and	Jul 2015 COO
				Have yet to implement tools and processes that allow us to improve our overall responsiveness thro tactical planning	productivity improvemer driven throu	nts gh the g work	Jul 2015 COO

Principal risk 4	Existing and new tertiary flows of patients not compromising UHL's future more specialised st		Overall level of risk to the ach objective	ievement of the	Current score 5x3=15	Target score 5x2=10
Executive Risk Lead(s)	Director of Strategy					
Link to strategic objectives	Integrated care in partnership with others.					
Key Controls(What control measures or systems are in place to assist secure delivery of the objective)		Assurance Source (Provide examples of recent reports considered by Board or committee where delivery of the objectives is discussed and where the board can gain evidence that controls are effective).		Gaps in Assurance Control (c) (i.e. What are we n doing - What gaps systems, controls a assurance have bee identified)	Gaps ot in nd	dress Timescale/ Action Owner
	ad of Tertiary Partnerships role to lead on uring existing pathways and developing new ones.	Monthly reporting Strategy report.	to ESB as part of Director of	(c) Significant amo of partnership wor being taken throug ESB.	k options/bene	hing
Children's and Cancer Collaborative Groups established with NUH.		Monthly reporting Strategy report.	to ESB as part of Director of	(c) Significant amo of partnership beir taken through ESB	ng	As action 4.1
Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) between NUH and UHL signed in 2011.		Monthly reporting Strategy report.	to ESB as part of Director of	(c) MoU was intento support establishment of EMPATH and shou include wider partnership opportunities.	reviewed by borganisations	
•	or Specialised Services established in Membership includes Northants CCGs; NHS and UHL.			(a) Does not feed i UHL Governance Structure.	nto Future minute be included D report to ESB.	S
Meetings in place and planned at Director level with other provider organisations (regional and national) to explore partnership opportunities.		Monthly reporting Strategy report.	to ESB as part of Director of	None	None	

Principal risk 5	Failure to deliver integrated care in partnersh including failure to: Deliver the Better Care To programme of work; Participate in BCT formal with risk of challenge and judicial review; Deve partnerships with a range of providers; Explore models of care. Failure to deliver integrated care.	gether year 2 public consultation elop and formalise e and pioneer new	Overall level of risk to the achie objective			arget score x5=10
Executive Risk Lead(s)	Director of Strategy				·	
Link to strategic objectives	An effective and integrated emergency care sy operating from excellent facilities; A financially			standards; A clinically s	sustainable configuratio	n of services,
<b>Key Controls</b> (What co secure delivery of the	ontrol measures or systems are in place to assist e objective)	reports considered delivery of the obje	Provide examples of recent by Board or committee where ctives is discussed and where evidence that controls are	Gaps in Assurance (a Control (c) (i.e. What are we not doing - What gaps in systems, controls and assurance have been identified)	Gaps	Timescale/ Action Owner
PLANNING  BCT Programme five year directional plan developed and agreed in June 2014.  Two-year operational plan approved in April 2014.  LLR BCT Strategic Outline Case approved and submitted		LLR BCT Partnership Board bi-monthly, attended by the chief executive and medical director. Ad hoc updates from the chief executive to Trust Board as part of the chief executive report		(c) LLR Master Project Plan required to monitor progress	establish plan (5.3	May 2015 DS
centrally December 2014.  GOVERNANCE - Robust BCT and UHL/BCT project governance structure:  LLR BCT Partnership Board - overarching responsibility for setting, implementing and reporting the BCT Programme UHL/BCT Programme Board		Monthly UHL/BCT Programme Board progress reports to Executive Strategy Board		(a) Regular LLR wide performance monitoring report required for presentation to Trust Board	BCT PMO establishing a master plan (5.2)	Jun 2015 DS
DELIVERY - Robust system wide project delivery structure and organisational specific delivery mechanisms  LLR project delivery through LLR Implementation Group  Organisational delivery (UHL/BCT Programme Board)  Project specific delivery (UHL Beds/theatres/OP etc.)		Monthly project spec at UHL/BCT Program	ific highlight reports considered me Board	(a)LLR wide dashboar required so that performance can be monitored	LLR wide business intelligence group established. UHL dashboard in draft to be used t inform LLR wide dashboard. (5.3)	DS DS
		Monthly project spec	ific highlight reports	(a) Lack of Triangulati and assurance of plar		May 2015 DS

		at organisational and system wide level.	triangulation process (5.4)	
Update on plans for Public consultation considered and approved by LLR BCT Partnership Board in March 2015.     The programme will carry out an overarching consultation for the whole system change, paying specific attention to areas of particular public interest and is targeted to take place in autumn 2015.	Monthly reports are submitted to the LLR BCT Partnership Board, last one submitted March 2015	(c)No detailed plans for overall change. These will form the basis for the narrative for formal consultation.	Results of the engagement programme will be summarised and used to inform the Consultation planning. (5.6)	May 2015 DMC
			Analysis to be provided to partnership Board. (5.7)	May 2015 DMC
			Plan for consultation including a full governance roadmap to be completed. (5.8)	Jul 2015 DMC
EXPLORING PIONEERING NEW MODELS OF CARE TO SUPPORT THE DELIVERY OF INTEGRATED CARE				
Proposal for proof of concept for a single Integrated Frail Older Person Service (LPT/UHL/GE Finnamore) prepared	Verbal update to Executive Strategy Board (April 2015)	Project plan and early progress not yet developed	Project plan to be developed (5.9)	May 2015 DS
Proposed establishment of an Institute of Frail Older People Services  Programme management arrangements in place (early April, 2015)	Progress reports are to be submitted to the Executive Strategy Board on a monthly basis			

Principal risk 6	Failure to retain BRU status.	Overall level of risk to the achieve objective		evement of the	Current score 3x3=9	Targe 3x2=	et score 6
Executive Risk Lead(s)	Medical Director					·	
Link to strategic objectives	Enhanced reputation in research, innovation a	nd clinical education					
<b>Key Controls</b> (What control measures or systems are in place to assist secure delivery of the objective)		reports considered delivery of the objethe board can gain effective).	Provide examples of recent by Board or committee where ctives is discussed and where evidence that controls are	Gaps in Assurance ( Control (c) (i.e. What are we not doing - What gaps in systems, controls ar assurance have been identified)	Gaps ot od	o Address	Timescale/ Action Owner
Maintaining relation BRU infrastructure	ships with key partners to support joint NIHR/	Joint BRU Board (bin Annual Report Feedb (annual) UHL R&D Executive (	pack from NIHR for each BRU	(c) Requirement to replace senior staff increase critical mas senior academic sta each of the three BF	and theme st is of for renew identifyin	val, ng potential	Jun 2015 MD
		R&D Report to Trust	Board (quarterly)		BRUs to potential and work UoL/LU trecruitm packages	recruits with o structure	Jun 2015 MD
					UHL to u pump pr appointn possible planning academic appointn support I BRU. (6.3	nents if and LU new c nents to ifestyle	Jun 2015 MD
		and Loughborough U	tatus by University of Leicester Iniversity. Iarter applies to higher	(c) Athena Swan Silv not yet achieved by and Loughborough		uccessful	Mar 2016 MD

education institutions)	University. This will be	Silver swan status.	
	required for eligibility	Individual medical	
	for NIHR awards	school depts will	
		need to separately	
		apply for Athena	
		Swan Silver status.	
		(6.4)	

Principal risk 7	Clinical service pressures and too few trainers criteria may mean we fail to provide consisten medical education.				Current score 3 x 3 = 9	Target score 2 x 2 = 4
Executive Risk Lead(s)	Medical Director					
Link to strategic objectives	Enhanced reputation in research, innovation a	nd clinical education				
	ontrol measures or systems are in place to assist e objective)	reports considered delivery of the object	Provide examples of recent by Board or committee where ctives is discussed and where evidence that controls are	Gaps in Assurance (Control (c) (i.e. What are we not doing - What gaps it systems, controls at assurance have been identified)	Gaps ot n	ress
Medical Education St	trategy	Plan and risk register	al Education (DCE) Business are discussed at regular DCE nformation given to the Trust	(c) Medical Educatio issues not champione by Non-Executive Director		,
		meetings (including Database of recognis 2016 Appointment process established	dical Education Committee CMG representation) sed Trainers required by GMC ses for Level 3 educational roles ducational roles in UHL	(c) Education facilitie Identified as poor in external reports from HEEM and Leicester University	facilities i.e. to re	MD S S Fin
		<ul> <li>CMG Education</li> <li>meetings</li> <li>GMC Train</li> <li>UHL traine</li> </ul>	tion Quality Dashboard ation Leads and stakeholder ee Survey results	(a) Lack of accountability and transparency of educational funding income and expenditure	Engagement with CMGs in ensuring education expenditure mat income (7.3)	g MD

Accreditation visits	(c) Ineffective control of	Medical education	Aug 2015
	clinical service	quality dashboard,	MD
	pressures, vacancies	SPA time in job	
	and loss of posts on	plans for training,	
	rotas that adversely	support for CMG	
	affect quality of training	Medical Education	
	and added impact of	leads and local	
		faculty groups	
		(College Tutors etc)	
		(7.4)	

Principal risk 8	Insufficient engagement of clinical services, in governance may cause failure to deliver the Government of Centre project at UHL				Curren 3x3=9		get score !=6
Executive Risk Lead(s)	Medical Director						
Link to strategic	Enhanced reputation in research, innovation a	nd clinical education					
objectives		-					
secure delivery of the	ntrol measures or systems are in place to assist objective)	reports considered delivery of the obje	(Provide examples of recent by Board or committee where ectives is discussed and where evidence that controls are	Gaps in Assurance Control (c) (i.e. What are we not doing - What gaps i systems, controls a assurance have been identified)	ot n nd en	Actions to Address Gaps	Timescale/ Action Owner
	ntre project manager for UHL in place lead, with UHL leads for both cancer and rare	R&I minutes (inc. GN	R&I Executive (bimonthly)  AC report) to ESB bimonthly  I/Genomics England: Reports to ommittee via Cambridge	(c) Need for sufficie funding to CMG to support delivery of recruitment trajector	ory E	'The 100,000 Genomes Project' paper presented to Executive Strategy Board (8.1)	Apr 2015 MD
		GMC Update in R&I I  Trust GMC Steering or reporting route — ?vi	Report to Trust Board (quarterly) Committee minutes (?best	(c) Need for key sta consent/recruit/dat entry	ta (	'The 100,000 Genomes Project' paper with detailed costing to go to Revenue and Investment Committee (8.2)	May 2015 MD
			against recruitment trajectory rtner when project live	(c) Need UHL IT soluto deliver and moni recruitment traject under developmen	itor F ory – F	Targeted use of Research Capability Funding (8.3)	Apr/May 2015 MD
				(c) Need to increase awareness of GMC project amongst UF staff	t HL \	Work with comms team to produce weekly UHL GMC newsletter (8.4)	Apr 2015 MD

Principal risk 9	Changes in senior management/ leaders in par may adversely affect relationships / partnershi	-				rget score 2=6	
Executive Risk Lead(s)	Medical Director			•			
Link to strategic objectives	Enhanced reputation in research, innovation a	nhanced reputation in research, innovation and clinical education					
<b>Key Controls</b> (What co secure delivery of the	ntrol measures or systems are in place to assist objective)	reports considered delivery of the obje	Provide examples of recent by Board or committee where ctives is discussed and where evidence that controls are	Gaps in Assurance (a Control (c) (i.e. What are we not doing - What gaps in systems, controls and assurance have been identified)	<b>Gaps</b> t	S Timescale/ Action Owner	
Maintaining relations relationships with key Existing well establish		Minutes of Joint BRU Minutes of NCSEM M		(c) New relationships need to be develope and nurtured with th new VC and Presider for UoL and. New De of UoL Medical School expected 2015.	d MD for academic partnerships to be in place (9.1) an	MD	
Developing partnersh	<ul> <li>ips;</li> <li>De Montfort University</li> <li>University of Nottingham</li> <li>University College London (Life Study)</li> <li>Cambridge University (100k project)</li> </ul>	Life steering group m EM CLAHRC Manager Exec to ESB	eets monthly ment Board reports via R&D	(c) Contacts with DIV could be developed more closely	Develop regular meeting with DMI (9.2)	Jun 2015 J MD	

Principal risk 10  Executive Risk Lead(s)	Gaps in inclusive and effective leadership capa lack of support for workforce well-being, and I team working across local teams may lead to compagement and difficulties in recruiting and rand non-medical staff  Director of Human Resources	ack of effective deteriorating staff	Overall level of risk to the achi objective	evement of the	Current score 12	Target score 8
Link to strategic objectives	A caring, professional and engaged workforce					
	ontrol measures or systems are in place to assist e objective)	reports considered delivery of the obje	Provide examples of recent by Board or committee where ctives is discussed and where evidence that controls are	Gaps in Assurance Control (c) (i.e. What are we note that gaps is systems, controls and assurance have been identified)	Gaps ot n nd	dress Timescale/ Action Owner
Organisational Deve	lopment Plan			(a) Lack of scrutiny the Organisational Health Dashboard CMG level	level the	DHR I pard at
LIA Programme		LIA Sponsor Group m Reported to EWB qua Reported to Trust Bo report).	•	(c) Analysis of LIA dataset has identifi some key areas for improvement – cod as: Frustrations; Fo on Quality; Structur and leadership	enable staff to make contribution to changes an	to DHR o utions
Workforce Planning		plan) Key Performance Ind	icators included in a dashboard and NTDA de: an against plan gainst plan	(c) Affordability aga workforce plan is an issue related to lack substantive staff leading to increase premium spend	trajectory of premium spellinked to	DHR nd vith rough MG

			Cutting Workforce Meeting. (10.3)	
Medical Workforce Strategy Medical Workforce Group Medical Workforce Design and Recruitment group	Outputs reported to EQB (quarterly) and CQRG (biannually)	(c) Lack of effective processes for international recruitment.	Appoint dedicated resource to manage international recruitment MTI scheme (10.10)	Jun 2015 MD
		(c) Lack of a systematic approach to design by new teams around the patient.	Training for clinicians on role redesign and functional mapping (10.11)	Dec 2015 MD
		(c) Lack of clarity on gaps in junior Dr supply as a result of broadening foundation and redistribution	Work with HEEM to influence posts to be redistributed (10.12)	Mar 2016 MD
Leadership into Action Strategy	Reported to EWB quarterly Reported to Trust Board quarterly (as part of OD plan) National staff survey responses Staff friends and family test responses LiA 'pulse check' responses East Midland Academy Board receives reports in relation to the monitoring of utilisation and quality of East Midlands Academy Board leadership programmes.	(c)Negative feedback from surveys in relation to leadership issues	Improvements in local leadership and the management of well led teams including holding to account for the basics (10.4)	Mar 2016 DHR
Equality Action Plan	Twice yearly progress report to Trust Board, EWB,EQB and Commissioners KPIs for monitoring are contained within the Public Sector Equality duty	(c) Low BME representation at band 7 or above	NED apprenticeship scheme to be implemented (10.5)	Mar 2016 DMC
			Targeted interventions for BME band 5 and 6 to be developed and implemented (10.6)	Mar 2016 DMC

Compliance with national 'Freedom to Speak' standard including:	Regular (quarterly) reporting to EQB in relation to	(c)Not yet appointed a	Await national	Sep 2015
3636 concerns hotline	'whistleblowing	'Freedom to Speak'	guidance in relation	MD
Junior Dr 'gripe tool'	3636 hotline	Guardian	to this post (10.7)	
Patients Safety walkabouts	CQC			
UHL intranet 'staff room'	Patient Safety	(a) No formal	Undertake actions	Sep 2015
Clinical Senate	Junior Dr 'gripe tool'	publication of actions	from 'Freedom to	MD
Monthly 'Breakfast with the Boss' forums	Regular reports from Clinical senate	taken as a consequence	Speak' gap analysis	
Whistleblowing' policy		of concerns raised	(10.8)	
Anti-Bullying / harassment policy				
Director of Safety and Risk		(c)Nominated	CMGs to nominate	Sep 2015
		managers for receipt of	appropriate	MD
		concerns not yet	managers (10.9)	
		identified		
			TBA	TBA
		(c) Need better links		MD
		with National helpline		

Principal risk 11	Insufficient estates infrastructure capacity and of the Estates team may adversely affect majo transformation programme		Overall level of risk to the achievement of the objective		Current score 5x4=20	Targ 5x2=	et score 10
Executive Risk Lead(s)	Director of Facilities					·	
Link to strategic objectives	A clinically sustainable configuration of services	s, operating from exc	ellent facilities				
	ontrol measures or systems are in place to assist e objective)	reports considered delivery of the obje	Provide examples of recent by Board or committee where ctives is discussed and where evidence that controls are	Gaps in Assurance ( Control (c) (i.e. What are we not doing - What gaps in systems, controls ar assurance have bee identified)	Gaps ot	o Address	Timescale/ Action Owner
current infrastructur	tion investment programme demands with re, identifying future capacity requirements e details being gathered for all three acute sites elements of engineering and building			(a) Effective governarrangements for oversight and scruti of this work are yet be agreed	engaged ny	port to be (11.1)	May 2015 DEF
mirastructure				(c) A programme of infrastructure improvements is ye be identified	programi	ne of	Sep 2015 DEF
				(c) Timescale issues infrastructure work: which could impact the overall program have not yet been identified and quantified in relationisk	on operation on register for projects (	nal risk or the	Sep 2015 DEF
Capital programme w capacity demands	vith ring fenced capital funding to support future	Capital Investments	Monitoring Committee	(c) Currently no identified capital funding within 2015 programme and fut years	allocation	nt and of capital	Sep 2015 DEF/DoF

An established Estates and Facilities team with detailed knowledge of	Regular reports to Executive Performance Board	c) Conflicting	Define resource and	Sep 2015
the estates and reconfiguration programme	(EPB)	responsibilities/roles of the estates and facilities team between UHL and the LLR estate and Facilities Management Collaborative	skills gaps and agree an enhanced team structure to support the significant reconfiguration programme (11.5)	DEF

Principal risk 12	Limited capital envelope to deliver the reconfi is required to meet the Trust's revenue obligat	•	which Overall level of risk to the achievement of the objective		Current score 4 x 3 = 12	Target score 4 x 2 = 8		
Executive Risk Lead(s)	Director of Facilities	virector of Facilities						
Link to strategic objectives	A clinically sustainable configuration of service	s, operating from exc	ellent facilities					
<b>Key Controls</b> (What of secure delivery of the	control measures or systems are in place to assist le objective)	reports considered delivery of the obje	Provide examples of recent by Board or committee where ctives is discussed and where evidence that controls are	Gaps in Assurance Control (c) (i.e. What are we n doing - What gaps i systems, controls a assurance have bee identified)	Gaps ot n	ddress Timescale/ Action Owner		
Individual project boards in place to manage and monitor schemes  Merging of strategic clinical change projects into the Estates an Facilities Directorate		Project boards report to UHL Better Care Together (BCT) working group via monthly highlight reports  Estates work stream reporting to the UHL – BCT Programme Board		(c) lack of Overall programme management funct for the estates wor stream		DEF		
5 year plan agreed w each year	vith individual annual programmes developed	monitor the overall	t Monitoring Committee will programme of capital rly warning to issues	(c) Lack of Continge funding	Discussions between D. I and P. Trayno identify fundi (12.2)	or to		

Principal risk 13	Lack of robust assurance in relation to statutor estate	y compliance of the	once of the Overall level of risk to the achievement of the objective		Current score 4x3=12	Target score 4x2=8		
Executive Risk Lead(s)	Director of Facilities	Director of Facilities						
Link to strategic objectives	A clinically sustainable configuration of service	s, operating from exc	ellent facilities					
Key Controls(What of secure delivery of the	control measures or systems are in place to assist e objective)	reports considered delivery of the obje	Provide examples of recent by Board or committee where ctives is discussed and where evidence that controls are	Gaps in Assurance Control (c) (i.e. What are we note that gaps is systems, controls a assurance have been identified)	Gaps ot n nd	Timescale/ Action Owner		
Outsourced facilities management contract performance managed by the Estates and Facilities Management Collaborative  Defined KPI's which Interserve FM are measured against.		LLR FMC Board Monthly Contact M Review Meeting	anagement Panel, and Service	(a) A lack of electro evidence by IFM on compliance		gh		
				(a) Limited contract KPI's on compliance		oard DEF		

Principal risk 14	Failure to deliver clinically sustainable config	uration of services	Overall level of risk to the achie objective	evement of the	Current score 4x3=12	Target score 4x2=8			
Executive Risk Lead(s)	Director of Strategy					•			
Link to strategic objectives	Clinically sustainable configuration of services	ces, operating from excellent facilities							
<b>Key Controls</b> (What control measures or systems are in place to assist secure delivery of the objective)		reports considered by Board or committee where delivery of the objectives is discussed and where the board can gain evidence that controls are effective).		Gaps in Assurance Control (c) (i.e. What are we n doing - What gaps systems, controls a assurance have bee identified)	Gaps ot n	Idress Timescale/ Action Owner			
Agreed capital programme with NTDA identified what resources the NTDA need to commence their approval processes		Monthly meetings with the NTDA to discuss the programme of delivery and identify new cases coming up for approval  A monthly highlight report is submitted to the BCT-UHL Programme Delivery Board.		(c) Lack of capacity within the NTDA to resource each of th business cases	providing a	and for			
projects  ITU Vascular Emergency Planned Tre Maternity Children's H Theatres Beds multi-storey	eatment Centre Iospital	A report is submitted Delivery Board on a r	d to the BCT-UHL Programme monthly basis that tracks luding financial assurance, risks	(a) Further work required looking at remaining acute services at the LGH determine the gap the current capital	identify gaps	DS DS			
<ul> <li>Each of the engagemen</li> <li>UHL comm</li> </ul>	tation programme established appropriate BC have a consultation and not plans in place and work closely through the nunication and engagement lead to ensure with the BCT Plan	women's sits on the stream. This is led by Communications and A monthly report is	Marketing. submitted to the BCT-UHL Board from the communication						

Principal risk 15	Failure to deliver the 2015/16 programme of sekey component of service-line management (SI		Overall level of risk to the achi objective	evement of the		Farget score 3x2= 6
Executive Risk Lead(s)	Director of Finance					
Link to strategic objectives	A financially sustainable NHS Organisation					
<b>Key Controls</b> (What control measures or systems are in place to assist secure delivery of the objective)		reports considered by Board or committee where delivery of the objectives is discussed and where the board can gain evidence that controls are effective).		Gaps in Assurance (Control (c) (i.e. What are we not doing - What gaps is systems, controls at assurance have beeidentified)	Gaps ot n nd	Action Owner
Overarching project p	plan for service reviews developed	Service Review Upg considered by ESB.	date and Roll Out Plan	(c) Alignment with ( and future operatin model.		
<ul> <li>Monthly highlight progress, risks, i</li> <li>Monthly update Performance an</li> </ul>	ments established which includes: ht reporting process embedded (includes ssues, and mitigation) is / assurance reported to Integrated Finance, d Investment Committee (IFPIC) and EPB as part rovement Programme paper.	Monthly reporting report.	to IFPIC and EPB as part of CIP	(a) Monthly update ESB	to be included in the Director of Strategy's mont report for ESB.	DS DS
Capacity bolstered th - Programme Sup programme of s and to engage k service, transfor	prough the appointment of: port Officer appointed to coordinate the ervice reviews, provide support to service leads, ey stakeholders in the process e.g. heads of rmation managers, operational managers etc. managers within CMGs who will support the	N/A		(c) Capacity and lev of clinical engagem determines when service reviews car happen and how m can run at any give time	Approach and scheduling of service reviews be reviewed to ensure process	fy
stream which reports ensure alignment wit	e considered as part of the Clinical Strategy work is into the BCT UHL Delivery Board (and PMO) to th wider provision of data and intelligence ew models of care / ways of working	Monthly reporting (PMO)	to BCT UHL Delivery Board	N/A	N/A	N/A

Director of Finance  A financially sustainable NHS organisation						10				
A financially sustainable NHS organisation					·					
	cially sustainable NHS organisation									
<b>Key Controls</b> (What control measures or systems are in place to assist secure delivery of the objective)		reports considered by Board or committee where delivery of the objectives is discussed and where the board can gain evidence that controls are effective).		n nd	Address	Timescale/ Action Owner				
gation of final, detailed income and expenditure MG and Department within UHL	budget book to IFPIC May 2015  Full devolution of budget budget because the period of	C (draft in April 2015) in early udgets to CMGs and y achieved by robust integrated advance of April 2015								
Sign off and agreement of contracts with CCGs and NHSE including activity plans for all areas and the terms and conditions attached to the contracts in 2015/16		April 2015) in early May 2015  Full devolution of activity and performance plans to CMGs and Departments, clarity achieved by robust integrated planning process in advance of April 2015  Monthly reporting via Exec Performance Board, IFPIC		team work	ing to	DoF May 2015				
ery by CMGs at UHL	Weekly reviews between DoF/COO and all CMGs, covering key areas of performance including finance and CIPs  Monthly reporting via Exec Performance Board, IFPIC and Trust Board		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CIP plans b	y end	COO/DoF May 2015				
	ration of final, detailed income and expenditure MG and Department within UHL  at of contracts with CCGs and NHSE including eas and the terms and conditions attached to 16	delivery of the objethe board can gain deffective).  Final agreed financibudget book to IFPI May 2015  Full devolution of bid Departments, clarity planning process in  Monthly reporting with the terms and conditions attached to 16  Full devolution of active planning and Trust Board  Full devolution of active planning and Trust Board  Full devolution of active planning and Trust Board  Weekly reviews between and Trust Board  Weekly reviews between and CIPs  Monthly reporting via and Trust Board  Monthly reporting via and Trust Board  Monthly reporting via and Trust Board	delivery of the objectives is discussed and where the board can gain evidence that controls are effective).  Final agreed financial plan including detailed budget book to IFPIC (draft in April 2015) in early May 2015  Full devolution of budgets to CMGs and Departments, clarity achieved by robust integrated planning process in advance of April 2015  Monthly reporting via Exec Performance Board, IFPIC and Trust Board  Detail of the agreed contracts to IFPIC (draft in April 2015) in early May 2015  Full devolution of activity and performance plans to CMGs and Departments, clarity achieved by robust integrated planning process in advance of April 2015  Monthly reporting via Exec Performance Board, IFPIC and Trust Board  Weekly reviews between DoF/COO and all CMGs, covering key areas of performance including finance and CIPs  Monthly reporting via Exec Performance Board, IFPIC and Trust Board	delivery of the objectives is discussed and where the board can gain evidence that controls are effective).  The board can gain evidence that controls are effective).  Final agreed financial plan including detailed budget book to IFPIC (draft in April 2015) in early May 2015  Full devolution of budgets to CMGs and Department within UHL  Monthly reporting via Exec Performance Board, IFPIC and Trust Board  To CMGs and NHSE including eas and the terms and conditions attached to 16  The board can gain evidence that controls are effective).  It of contracts with CCGs and NHSE including eas and the terms and conditions attached to 16  The board can gain evidence that controls are effective).  It of contracts with CCGs and NHSE including eas and the terms and conditions attached to 16  The board can gain evidence that controls are systems, controls are assurance have been identified)  The board can gain evidence that controls are systems, controls are assurance have been identified)  Full devolution of budgets to CMGs and Departmentes to IFPIC (draft in April 2015) in early May 2015  Full devolution of activity and performance plans to CMGs and Departments, clarity achieved by robust integrated planning process in advance of April 2015  Monthly reporting via Exec Performance Board, IFPIC and Trust Board  Weekly reviews between DoF/COO and all CMGs, covering key areas of performance including finance and CIPs  Monthly reporting via Exec Performance Board, IFPIC and Trust Board  Monthly reporting via Exec Performance Board, IFPIC and Trust Board	delivery of the objectives is discussed and where the board can gain evidence that controls are effective).  (i.e. What are we not doing - What gaps in systems, controls and assurance have been identified)  Final agreed financial plan including detailed budget book to IFPIC (draft in April 2015) in early May 2015  Full devolution of budgets to CMGs and Departments, clarity achieved by robust integrated planning process in advance of April 2015  Monthly reporting via Exec Performance Board, IFPIC and Trust Board  Detail of the agreed contracts to IFPIC (draft in April 2015) in early May 2015  Full devolution of activity and performance plans to CMGs and Departments, clarity achieved by robust integrated planning process in advance of April 2015  Monthly reporting via Exec Performance Board, IFPIC and Trust Board  Trust Board  Weekly reviews between DoF/COO and all CMGs, covering key areas of performance including finance and CIPs  Monthly reporting via Exec Performance Board, IFPIC and Trust Board  Weekly reviews between DoF/COO and all CMGs, covering key areas of performance including finance and CIPs  Monthly reporting via Exec Performance Board, IFPIC and Trust Board  Monthly reporting via Exec Performance Board, IFPIC and Trust Board  Weekly reviews between BoF/COO and all CMGs, covering key areas of performance including finance and CIPs  Monthly reporting via Exec Performance Board, IFPIC and Trust Board	delivery of the objectives is discussed and where the board can gain evidence that controls are effective).    Color of final, detailed income and expenditure (MG and Department within UHL)				

	Delivery Group (chaired by DS or DoF), reporting into Executive Strategy Board, IFPIC and Trust Board		
Identification and mitigation of excess cost pressures	Robust process involving the CEO to identify and fund where necessary any unavoidable cost pressures in advance of the start of 2015/16		
	Monthly reporting via Exec Performance Board, IFPIC and Trust Board		

Principal risk 17	Failure to achieve a revised and approved 5 years	ar financial strategy  Overall level of risk to the achievement objective				Target 5x2=1	t score 0
Executive Risk Lead(s)	Director of Finance						
Link to strategic objectives	A financially sustainable NHS organisation						
<b>Key Controls</b> (What control measures or systems are in place to assist secure delivery of the objective)		Assurance Source (Provide examples of recent reports considered by Board or committee where delivery of the objectives is discussed and where the board can gain evidence that controls are effective).		Gaps in Assurance (Control (c) (i.e. What are we not doing - What gaps in systems, controls at assurance have been identified)	Gaps ot n nd	Address	Timescale/ Action Owner
Overall strategic dire Together	ection of travel defined through Better Care	The pending approval of the Better Care Together Strategic Outline Case (SOC) by TDA and NHSE		(c) SOC not yet approved	Approval cubeing sough		CEO Date TBA
Financial Strategy fully modelled and agreed by all parties locally and nationally		2015/16 financial plan (as per existing LTFM) approved by both Trust Board and TDA  LTFM being revised for review by Trust Board in mid-May  Approval of the LTFM by the TDA will be sought late May into June depending on TDA governance		(c)LTFM not yet approved	Production revised LTF submission approval to Board and (17.2)	of M and for Trust	DoF June 2015
Cash required for capital and existing deficit support		process  Trust Board have apstrategy (in April 20	oproved UHL's working capital 115)	(c)SOC not yet approved	As above		
		strategy and the ca	e supportive of the 5 year sh/loan support that is required	(c)LTFM not yet approved			
		This will be formalis BCT SOC and the re	sed through TDA approval of vised LTFM				

Principal risk 18	Delay to the approvals for the EPR programme	2	Overall level of risk to the achi objective	evement of the		rget score 3=6
Executive Risk Lead(s)	Chief Information Officer					
Link to strategic objectives	Enabled by excellent IM&T					
<b>Key Controls</b> (What of secure delivery of the	control measures or systems are in place to assist le objective)	reports considered delivery of the obje	Provide examples of recent by Board or committee where ectives is discussed and where evidence that controls are	Gaps in Assurance Control (c) (i.e. What are we note that gaps is systems, controls a assurance have been identified)	Gaps ot n nd	Timescale/ Action Owner
Communications wit chain	th key contacts throughout the external approvals	Updates on the IM&	iscuss progress and issues.  T transformation Board, EPR and the joint Governance Board.	(c) No final approva	Ils Further work with NTDA to progress firm timetable to the ATP (18.1)	,
Communications wi	th key contacts throughout the Internal approvals	Weekly meeting to during to during to during the Updates on the IM&	iscuss progress and issues.  T transformation Board, EPR  and the joint Governance Board.	(c) Lack of confirme planning, hindered the external ATP sto could lead to delays the internal process of the final FBC	Further work to by expose the executive and the Trust board to the likely shape of the	July 2015 CIO

Principal risk 19 Perception of IM&T delivery by IBM leads to a in the service					Current score Targ 4x4=16 3x2	get score =6
Executive Risk Lead(s)	Chief Information Officer					
Link to strategic objectives	Enabled by excellent IM&T					
<b>Key Controls</b> (What control measures or systems are in place to assist secure delivery of the objective)		Assurance Source (Provide examples of recent reports considered by Board or committee where delivery of the objectives is discussed and where the board can gain evidence that controls are effective).		Gaps in Assurance Control (c) (i.e. What are we n doing - What gaps i systems, controls a assurance have bee identified)	Gaps ot n nd	Timescale/ Action Owner
Review of contractual deliverable and quality of service		External reviews, PWC and ISO 27001 Audit in 2014  Monthly service delivery board, covering all aspects of service delivery		(a) VfM review	Engage third party, as per contract, to asses and review VfM (19.1)	Aug 2015 CIO
Communication to e service delivery	end users of the performance of IBM and IM&T in	users of the performance of IBM and IM&T in  Monthly service de aspects of service de  Performance reports		(c) Communication about successes is sufficiently robust	Production of a 2014/15 annual review (19.2)	May 2015 CIO
		Project performance the trust executive	is reported quarterly through		Production of a quarterly newsletter available to all staff (19.3)	Aug 2015 CIO
End user's service m	eets their requirements	their requirements	Gs to ensure we are meeting aints around the service and it's	(c) No formal proce post the contract award, to test the delivery principles	ss, LiA event to surface any issues with the service delivery and the delivery model (19.4)	CIO

Objective Revised

1 Not yet commenced

# UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF LEICESTER NHS TRUST ACTION TRACKER FOR THE 2015/16 BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK (BAF)

Monitoring body (Internal and/or External):	UHL Executive Team
Reason for action plan:	Board Assurance Framework
Date of this review	April 2015
Frequency of review:	Monthly
Date of last review:	N/A

Status key:

4 On track

Some delay – expect to completed as planned

REF	ACTION	BOARD LEVEL LEAD	OPS LEAD	COMPLETION DATE	PROGRESS UPDATE	STATUS				
1	Lack of progress in implementing UHL	Quality Comr	mitment (QC).							
1.1	Nurse and medical workforce recruitment strategies	MD/CN		Review July 2015		4				
1.2	Roll-out plan to be developed to move to 100% screening of deaths	MD	HOE	September 2015	Process drafted and incorporated into policy. Being launched at M&M Leads forum on 18 <sup>th</sup> May.	4				
1.3	Audit support to be provided.	MD	HOE	July 2015	Funding approved. M&M Clerks and analyst recruitment process commenced.	4				
1.4	Monitor uptake of screening.	MD/CN	HOE	Review July 2015	Mortality death report revised to facilitate monitoring. HOE and Bank M&M Clerk meeting with M&M leads to agree monitoring process	4				
1.5	Mortality database to be developed.	MD/CN	HOE	Review July 2015	Database scoping exercise being undertaken. Awaiting feedback from potential providers. Excel spreadsheet database being used in the meantime	4				
2	Demographic growth plus ineffective ac	lmission avo	idance schemes	s may counteract	any internal improvements in emergence	y pathway				
2.1	Continue to implement and monitor progress of LLR action plan	COO		Review September 2015		4				
3										
3.1	Develop performance improvement framework for failing specialties driven by the DP&I	COO	DP&I	May 2015		4				

Significant delay – unlikely to be completed as planned

3.2	Development and implementation of intelligence led recovery plan and trajectories.	COO	DP&I	July 2015		4
3.3	Theatre productivity improvements driven through the cross-cutting work stream.	COO		July 2015		4
4	Existing and new tertiary flows of patier	nts not secu	red comprom	ising UHL's future	more specialised status.	
4.1	Consider options/benefits/risks of establishing UHL Partnership Board.	DS		July 2015	Discussions continue	4
4.2	Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) to be reviewed by both organisations.	DS		July 2015	Work is on-going	4
4.3	Future minutes of Partnership Board for Specialised Services to be included DS report to ESB.	DS		July 2015	A process has been put in place to ensure the minutes come to ESB under the strategy update	4
5	Better Care Together year 2 programme	of work; Pa	rticipate in B	CT formal public c	ership with others including failure to: Deli consultation with risk of challenge and judi pioneer new models of care. Failure to de	icial
5.1	BCT PMO to establish project plan	DS		May 2015	PMO master plan is planned to go to the Partnership Board on 21/5/15	4
5.2	BCT PMO establishing a master plan for regular LLR wide performance monitoring.	DS		June 2015	In progress	4
5.3	LLR wide business intelligence group established. UHL dashboard in draft to be used to inform LLR wide dashboard.	DS		May 2015 July 2015	UHL dashboard has been agreed and shared with the LLR BCT PMO team. The LLR dashboard is not yet finished as the capacity and activity planning process has taken priority. Realistically this is more likely be July and therefore timescale for completion adjusted accordingly	4
5.4	BCT PMO to facilitate triangulation process for plans at an organisational and system level	DS		May 2015	In progress – series of presentations going to the BCT delivery board in May	4

5.5	Work to outline the scope and target date for public consultation project by project.	DMC	April 2015	Complete.	5
5.6	Results of the engagement programme will be summarised and used to inform the consultation planning.	DMC	May 2015		4
5.7	Analysis of results of engagement programme to be provided to partnership Board.	DMC	May 2015		4
5.8	Plan for consultation including a full governance roadmap to be completed.	DMC	July 2015		4
5.9	Project plan to be developed	DS	May 2015		4
6	Failure to retain BRU status.				
6.1	BRUs to re-consider theme structures for renewal, identifying potential new theme leads.	MD	June 2015		4
6.2	BRUs to identify potential recruits and work with UoL/ LU to structure recruitment packages.	MD	June 2015		4
6.3	UHL to use Research Capability Funding to pump prime appointments if possible and LU planning new academic appointments to support lifestyle BRU.	MD	June 2015		4
6.4	University of Leicester (UoL) and Leicester University to ensure successful applications for Silver Swan status.	MD	March 2016	VC and President has re-constituted group leading Medical School Bid with appointment of new project manager.	4
7	Clinical service pressures and too few t medical education.	rainers meeting GMC criteric	a may mean we fa	il to provide consistently high standard	s of
7.1	Discuss NED lead for medical education with Chairman	MD	May 2015		4
7.2	Continue to improve facilities i.e. to reprovide LRI Jarvis education centre in 1771 building, provide UHL Simulation facility and consider feasibility of Glenfield as an expanding training site	MD	September 2015		4

7.3	Engagement with CMGs in ensuring education expenditure matches income	MD		August 2015		4
7.4	Medical education quality dashboard, SPA time in job plans for training, support for CMG Medical Education leads and local faculty groups (College Tutors etc) to be developed	MD		August 2015		4
8	Insufficient engagement of clinical servi project at UHL	ces, investm	ent and gover	nance may cause	failure to deliver the Genomic Medicine	Centre
8.1	'The 100,000 Genomes Project' paper to be presented to Executive Strategy Board	MD		April 2015	Complete.	5
8.2	'The 100,000 Genomes Project' paper with detailed costing to go to Revenue and Investment Committee	MD		May 2015		4
8.3	Targeted use of Research Capability Funding	MD		April/ May 2015		4
8.4	Work with communications team to produce weekly UHL GMC newsletter	MD		April 2015	Complete	5
9	Changes in senior management/ leaders	in partner o	rganisations r	nay adversely affe	ct relationships / partnerships with university	ersities.
9.1	New UHL Associate MD for academic partnerships to be in place	MD		April 2015	Complete	5
9.2	Develop regular meeting with DMU	MD		Jun 2015		4
10	Gaps in inclusive and effective leadersh working across local teams may lead to medical staff	deteriorating			es in recruiting and retaining medical an	
10.1	Scrutinise at CMG level the organisational health dashboard at quarterly EWB.	DHR	J Tyler- Fantom	September 2015	Review of organisational dash board at monthly CMG meetings.	4

10.2	Continue with the spread of LiA to enable	DHR	M Cloney	March 2016	In March 2015 the Listening into Action	4
	staff to make contributions to changes		6.66,		Sponsor Group approved the Year Three	•
	and improvements at work				LiA Plan. The plan extends the current	
	and improvements at work				offer of 5 LiA work strands by a further 3	
					work strands (Involvement into Action,	
					Autonomous Teams & Quality). The	
					results from the 2014 National Staff	
					Survey, UHL annual Pulse Check Survey	
					and the Staff Friends and Family Test	
					indicate that LiA is making a significant	
					difference in the areas where it has been	
					adopted, and so the Year Three Plan	
					aims to accelerate the LiA approach	
					across the Trust. Wave 5 Pioneering	
					Teams commenced LiA on 11 May 2015	
					with 3 teams rolling over from Wave 4	
					due to the complexities of the issues they	
					are addressing. A Pass It On event is	
					scheduled for 13 May 2015. So far 47	
					Classic Pioneering Teams have	
					completed their journeys. Thematic LiA is	
					currently supporting: Admin & Clerical;	
					'Fixing the Basics'; Dementia Pathway	
					and Sleep Clinic. 64 Nursing into Action	
					teams have commenced their journeys.	
					Enabling LiA is supporting the LLR	
					Alliance to adopt LiA and Management of	
					Change continues to receive positive	
					feedback.	
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	TOOGDGOK.	

10.3	CMGs to produce a trajectory of premium spend linked to recruitment to be monitored through the CMG performance and Cross Cutting Workforce Meeting.	DHR	M Cloney	March 2016	The value of 10% reduction in Premium Spend has been identified per CMG. Each CMG Head of Operations has been met to outline the intention to reduce Premium Spend. Additional analysis is currently being undertaken to identify whether there is CiP within the 10% Premium Spend reduction. Fortnightly meetings have been held since February 2015 to monitor the work. A new Workforce Modelling tool has been	4
					developed by the Workforce Development Team and CMGs have been asked to input into this tool with recruit plans and workforce plans – deadline for completion 18 May 2015. Reduction in Premium Spend will be monitored via existing performance meetings (CiP / Workforce).	

10.4	Improvements in local leadership and the management of well led teams including holding to account for the basics	DHR	B Kotecha	March 2016	SAOS responding to staff feedback development workshops are being rolled out across CMG and Directorates A key Action for teams to address are 1) What can the Trust do to improve local leadership and management of teams?  2) What actions can the Trust take to remove day to day frustrations? 3) How can the Trust demonstrate its commitment to quality? These workshops will be completed before 24th May 2015 with co-created action plans.  Accountability into Action commenced May 2015 initially with Influencer training with 23 senior leaders across CMG's attending the programme. Crucial Conversation and Accountability will start in June/July with Train the Trainer commencing in June 2015.	4
10.5	NED apprenticeship scheme to be implemented	DMC	D Baker	March 2016	First meeting held. and proposal coming to the June / July Board. Discussed with the Non-Executive Directors who are happy to support the programme. Which broadly is to support the development of high potential individuals from local partners and community groups, especially underrepresented groups such as BME and others, who could then apply to become future NEDs.  A programme outline will be discussed and drafted at the June NED meeting.	4



10.6	Targeted interventions for BME band 5 and 6 to be developed and implemented	DMC	D Baker	March 2016	The Initial analysis of the talent profile by protected group shows significant under representation of staff across all protected groups. Figures are based upon a 43%return of talent profile appraisal information. To agree required interventions With the OD Lead.	4
10.7	Await national guidance in relation to the post of 'Freedom to Speak' Guardian	MD	DSR	September 2015		4
10.8	Undertake actions from 'Freedom to Speak' gap analysis	MD	DSR	September 2015		4
10.9	CMGs to nominate appropriate managers to receive staff concerns	MD	DSR	September 2015		4
10.10	Appoint dedicated resource to manage international recruitment MTI scheme	MD	AMD	June 2015		4
10.11	Training for clinicians on role redesign and functional mapping	MD	AMD	December 2015		4
10.12	Work with HEEM to influence posts to be redistributed	MD	AMD	March 2016		4
11	Insufficient estates infrastructure capac transformation programme	ity and the	e lack of capaci	ty of the Estates t	eam may adversely affect major estate	
11.1	PMO support to be engaged in order to develop effective governance arrangements	DEF		May 2015		4
11.2	Develop a programme of works for infrastructure improvements	DEF		September 2015		4
11.3	Develop an operational risk register for the projects	DEF		September 2015		4
11.4	Identification of investment required and allocation of capital funding	DEF		September 2015		4
11.5	Define resource and skills gaps and agree an enhanced team structure to support the significant reconfiguration programme	DEF		September 2015		4

12	Limited capital envelope to deliver the	reconfigured	estate which is required to m	neet the Trust's revenue obligations	
12.1	Additional resource support to be identified and implemented	DEF	May 2015		4
12.2	Discussions between D. Kerr and P. Traynor to identify contingency funding	DEF	September 2015		4
13	Lack of robust assurance in relation to	statutory cor	pliance of the estate		
13.1	Additional assurance to be identified through spot checks and deep dive analysis	DEF	July 2015		4
13.2	Develop improved software dashboard reporting (CASS)	DEF	September 2015		4
14	Failure to deliver clinically sustainable of	onfiguration	of services		
14.1	NTDA to look at providing a management and financial lead for each of the business cases	DS	September 2015	Initial meeting was held on the 12.05.15 with the NTDA where they recognised the need for NTDA resource	4
14.2	Work stream to be established to identify gaps in the current capital plan	DS	September 2015	Work has started- the LTFM has been updated and a revised project programme has been put in place	4
14.3	Appoint to post of 'engagement lead' for reconfiguration programme	DS	May 2015	Complete. The post has been appointed – Rhiannan Pepper started as the communication and engagement lead for reconfiguration on 04.05.15	5
15	Failure to deliver the 2015/16 programm	e of services	reviews, a key component of	f service-line management (SLM)	
15.1	Discuss with the Director of CIP the Future Operating Model and that through this we will cement delivery	DS	July 2015	Discussions are on-going	4
15.2	High level updates to be included in the Director of Strategy's monthly report for ESB.	DS	May 2015	An update went to April ESB, the next update is to come to the June ESB as part of the Strategy update	4
15.3	Approach and scheduling of service reviews to be reviewed to ensure process remains viable and/or to identify resource requirement.	DS	July 2015	Discussions have started	4

9 | Page

Status key: 5 Complete 4 On track 3 Some delay – expect to completed as planned 2 Significant delay – unlikely to be completed as planned 1 Not yet commenced 0 Objective Revised

16	Failure to deliver UHL's deficit control to	otal in 2015/1	16			
16.1	DF and contract team working to complete and sign final detailed version of CCG contract	DoF		May 2015		4
16.2	Full population of 2015/16 CIP plans to achieve £43million	DoF/COO		May 2015		4
17	Failure to achieve a revised and approve		ancial strategy			
17.1	Approval to be sought for SOC	CEO		TBA (Awaiting information from BCT programme Board for approx. date)		
17.2	Production of revised LTFM and submission for approval to Trust Board and TDA	DoF		June 2015		4
18	Delay to the approvals for the EPR prog	ramme				
18.1	Further work with NTDA to progress a firm timetable to the ATP	CIO	E. Simons	May 2015	There have been slippages due to the capacity at the NTDA to progress this work. Meetings between the Trust/IBM and NTDA are planned to resolve the final issues.	3
18.2	Further work to expose the executive and the Trust board to the likely shape of the FBC and the required internal steps.	CIO	E. Simons	July 2015	Plan is currently being finalised for this action	4
19	Perception of IM&T delivery by IBM lead	ls to a lack o	f confidence in	the service		
19.1	Engage third party, as per contract, to asses and review VfM	CIO	T. Hind	Aug 2015	Gartner have been approached to facilitate this work on behalf of the Trust and IBM	4
19.2	Production of a 2014/15 annual review	CIO	T. Hind	May 2015	Draft available and being commented on	4
19.3	Production of a quarterly newsletter available to all staff	CIO	T. Webb	August 2015	Plans are in place	4

19.4	LiA event to surface any issues with the	CIO	M. Cloney/ J.	June 2015	22 <sup>nd</sup> of June has been booked for the	4
	service delivery and the delivery model		Spiers		event. There is also a timetable of post	
					event activities to enable us to respond	
					to the items raised.	

## Key

itcy	
CEO	Chief Executive
DF	Director of Finance
MD	Medical Director
DoF	Director of Finance
DEF	Director of Estates and Facilities
DP&I	Director of Performance and Improvement
COO	Chief Operating Officer
DHR	Director of Human Resources
DS	Director of Strategy
DMC	Director of Marketing and Communications
CIO	Chief Information Officer
CN	Chief Nurse
AMD	Associate Medical Director (Clinical Education)
(CE)	
HOE	Head of Outcomes and Effectiveness
DSR	Director of Safety and Risk
AMD	Associate Medical Director

## **UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF LEICESTER NHS TRUST**

**UHL OPERATIONAL RISKS FOR THE PERIOD ENDING 30/04/15** 

## REPORT PRODUCED BY: UHL CORPORATE RISK MANAGEMENT TEAM

## Key

Red	Extreme risk (risk score 25)
Orange	High risk (risk score 15 - 20)
Yellow	Moderate risk (risk score 8 - 12)
Green	Low risk (risk score below 8)

CMG / Directorate Risk ID	Risk Title	Review Date Opened		Risk subtype	Controls in place	Impact	Current HISK Score Likelihood	Action summary	Risk Owner Target Risk Score	BAF reference
ITAPS 2530	Vacant Consultant post in pain management resulting in backlog of new and follow up patients	)/06/20 5/04/20	Causes We currently have a vacancy for a Pain consultant. The post has been advertised as a locum, appointed to, but then the successful candidate was offered a permanent position elsewhere. There was a delay in getting the substantive consultant position out to advert as the College queried the job description and job plan. This had to be amended, submitted again, then approved. The substantive position and locum position are both now out to advert. The realistic timeframe of getting someone in post for the substantive post is August 2015. We have a growing backlog of both new and follow-up patients and as a result of this need to use an agency locum to fill the gap as other options explored have been unsuccessful.  Consequences The consequences of not having a locum consultant over the next few months are inevitable RTT breaches, patients on waiting lists for pain procedures waiting a considerable amount of time longer, follow-up patients not being seen. The service may have significantly reduced effectiveness.	usiness	We are already asking the current Pain consultants to do additional activity but this still is not addressing our backlog. Use of agency locum to fill gaps (short-term measure).	Major	Almost certain	We plan to appoint Dr De from RIG Locums for 3 months to assist with our pain backlog in outpatients clinics, daycase & clean room procedures - 19/06/15 Interviews 23/04/15 Attempt to extend current locum contract until end of July 2015 Recruit another appropriate locum if current cannot extend - due 24/05/15	GHAR 9	

CMG / Directorate Risk ID	Specialty		Opened	Description of Risk	Risk subtype	Controls in place	Impact	Current Risk Score Likelihood	Action summary	Target Risk Score	BAF reference
(ITAPS   2529		Risk of vacancies on junior doctor on-call rota resulting in greater use of agency staff	0/0/0	Causes: This is due to inability to recruit, maternity leave and sick leave.  Consequences: Decreased ability to manage emergency situations with potential for mismanagement of patient care. Increase in Consultant acting down payments. Increased risk of on-call Consultants becoming resident which will impact on elective activity the following day resulting in cancellations on the day of procedure Increased risk of trainee/consultant sick leave due to workload Increased risk of clinical incidents due to the use of external locums who are unfamiliar with UHL Uncertain delivery of service.  Adverse publicity affecting organisation reputation.	conomic	Locum Bookers contacted for available doctors but difficult to find internal/external locums who are available.  Internal Trainees offered extra shifts. Ongoing recruitment in process. Cross site working. Use of consultant acting down. Non-resident consultant on-call becomes resident and rota is run with one less person. Progress monitored weekly and reported monthly to CMG Q&S board.	Major		Train ED staff to be able to cover for escorts out of hours - 01/05/15 Continue pro-active recruitment to specialty doctor jobs - 01/05/15 Expand fellowship jobs to support the rotas - 01/05/15 Plan to recruit non trainees to a level to ensure that all rotats are fully filled - 01/05/15	8	MTI

CMG / Directorate Risk ID				Current Risk Score Likelihood Impact		BAF reference Risk Owner Target Risk Score
perations 35	a significant impact on	Causes: To enable the Emergency Floor demolition work to take place an essential upgrade of the power supply to the IT data centre must take place in the morning of 1st May 2015 (note: this work must be carried out prior to the demolition of the Victoria building).  A number of these servers have not been power-cycled for some considerable time.  Delay in connecting the power supply from Interserve.  Consequences: Consequences on the safety of patients and on the Trust's ability to provide safe services with limited or no access to results and essential systems providing patient information.  GPs will have a delay in getting the GP Updates from the previous night.  Loss of access to most hospital systems including integration, cris, pacs, edis, ormis, bapex etc (see list provided by IM&T).  Disruption to hospital performance both clinically and nonclinically during the period of planned downtime as this is occurring on a weekday (although out of normal working hours).  Permanent and/or temporary loss/delays of data retrieved on data centre following the planned shutdown (its advised Adverse publicity and impact to Trust's reputation from a parancelled electives if systems not restored in time	Established contingency plans in place for system down time in all CMGs Lessons learnt shared from previous shut downs Shut down to occur out of hours to limit impact to services		Review business continuity plans - 27/04/15 Establish a plan to safely shut down systems to protect from data loss and damage - 27/04/15 Detailed mission statement from Interserve as to how they are going to do the work - 27/04/15 Communication strategy and engagement with service areas (internal and external) - 27/04/15 Develop a service delivery plan for the shut down - 27/04/15 Detailed understanding required of all the systems that would be affected - 27/04/15	JCK

CMG / Directorate Risk ID		w Date	Description of Risk	Risk subtype	Controls in place	Impact	Current Risk Score	Action summary	Target Risk Score	BAF reference
Musculoskeletal and Specialist Surgery 2541	increased spinal	/05/2015	Causes:- Increased spinal activity Workload exceeds capacity Insufficient theatre capacity Reduced bed capacity Insufficient consultant numbers to operate spinal on call rota Inadequate junior doctor numbers  Consequences:- Financial loss though increased LoS Adverse effect on other trauma theatre and bed capacity Inability to take advantage of increased tariff from #NOF BPT due to knock on effect on capacity Increased morbidity Risk to reputation Risk to CT training programme Claims risk Increased activity Decreased efficiency from increased split site working Insufficient Orthogeriatric cover for increased activity	atients	Weekly Monitoring of performance against BPT criteria Monitoring of morbidity at M&M meetings Trauma Coordinator role implemented Cross organisational meetings with commissioners Trauma business case accepted for increased staffing across wards/departments and theatres Trauma unit meeting reinstated	Likery Major		Agree way forward for regional spinal service - Richard Power/Sarah Taylor - due July 2015 Employment of further staff to support the spinal on call rota - Richard power/ John Davison - due July 2015 Formulation of capacity plan across the region to make plans for increased spinal activity - Richard Power/Sarah Taylor - due June 2015 Creation of escalation and response process to meet peaks in trauma demand - John Davison/Dorothea Morfey - due May 2015 Scoping and implementation of a more responsive data capture and scheduling database - Maggie McManus/Jitendra Mangwani - due Apr 2015 Employment and training of further TNPs to bolster junior doctor gaps and facilitate more stable CT training - Kate Machin/Nicola Grant - due Apr 2018 Recruit to staffing agreed through the trauma business case - Kate Machin/Nicola Grant/John Davison/Nafisa Bhaya - due Sept 2015	8 MINICINI	